**SPRINT #2**

**Day 1 - 02/05**

**REVIEW MEETING**

Product Owner opens the meeting with a quick review over the user stories that have been selected in the previous Sprint but has not been completed it.

Team members Dave and Kenneth are showing some partial implementation of the user stories to the Product Owner so he can have some perspective on how they will work. No other testing is performed as tasks are not completed.

Team and the Product Owner are not discussing about any creation of other backlog items as the Product Owner thinks that we will like to continue with the ones from Sprint #1 in Sprint #2.

**PLANNING MEETING**

Product Owner and Scrum Master are opening the meeting by reminding the team the purpose and the end goal of the team’s project. The velocity chart is being discussed and presented the current status of the team. Incomplete backlog items from Sprint #1 have been moved back to the Product Backlog from the Sprint Backlog.

Product Owner selects the backlog items to be worked in Sprint #2, same ones as Sprint #1, and the team confirms its capacity and team makes the assumption that everything should be finished in this Sprint.

**Daily meeting 2 - 09/05**

Each team member presents what work they have done so far and what they will work on in the second day of Sprint #2.

No issues to report.

**Daily meeting 3 - 16/05**

Each team member presents what work they have done so far and what they will work on in the third day of Sprint #2.

No issues to report.

**RETROSPECTIVE MEETING**

Scrum master opens up the meeting with a presentation on what the team has worked on and what has been completed and what has not been completed. As it looks from the Sprint Backlog and the progress of the team, it looks like nothing has been completed so far from the 6 user stories selected.

Check – in question: “What can you relate from the experience that you have gained from Sprint #1?”

Florin: “I think the story points have to re-evaluated and we need to go through analysis and design first before coding as we will understand better the user stories”.

Dave: “Analysis and design should be done better, so that we can follow it to the coding part and we know which exact method to use”

Jaume: “I agree with my colleagues that the user stories are confusing, and I strongly believe that with a complete analysis and design on them it would be easier to work on coding and testing after”.

Kenneth: “Same issues as related by my colleagues”.

Scrum master does not go through the action items as they need to be re-evaluated.

Team and Scrum Master are deciding that we need improvement on the issues talked previously and we also need to have a second meeting for today to refine better the user stories to fully understand them. Product Owner is also part of this meeting and he will double check with the customer before doing the planning.

Scrum master reviews briefly what it has been discussed and another meeting of refining the user stories starts.